





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,041	01/09/2001	John C. Reed	P-LJ 4494	6395
23601	7590 07/22/2002			
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE 7TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			EXAMINER	
			UNGAR, SU	SAN NMN
				·
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1642	12
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
		——————————————————————————————————————	EXA	AMINER
		' 	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

The communication filed on June 4, 2002 (Paper No. 9) is non-responsive to the prior Office action because Applicant did not elect an invention to be examined, even though the requirement be traversed, as required in Paper No. 7, Section 14, page 9. Contrary to Applicant's statement, it was never agreed that Applicant could elect all of claims 13-34 insofar as the claims are directed to a method of contacting in a cell as "in a cell" clearly reads on both in vivo and in vitro limitations. It appears that Applicant may be electing Group VII, if this is the case, then the Group must be specifically elected. Since the response appears to be *bona fide*, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a time limit of one month from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last Office action, whichever is the longer. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) OR (b) BUT THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MAY BE EXTENDED UP TO A MAXIMUM OF SIX MONTHS.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 305-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, can be reached at (703) 308-4310. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1642 July 18, 2002 and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identifyor describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe [3] and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

## **Examiner Note**

1.

In bracket 2, insert the trademark/trade name and where i



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

09/757,	041

FILING DATE SERIAL NUMBER

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1647 PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED

	DATE MAILEU:
EXAMINER INTER	IVIEW SUMMARY RECORD
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) S. Wypar	(3)
(2) M. Webster	(4)
Date of interview 5/34/03	
Type:   Telephonic   Personal (copy is given to   applicant	Papplicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes  No. If yes, brid	ef description:
Agreement	question. — was not reached.
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreemen	nt was reached, or any other comments:
Me Webster That is witro	cell ASSAYS Are NOT INVINO ASSAYS +
to some them our dating the	ing as such is represent to the
Art. Claims not be aleine	ed to be Ether AN is vitro on
is 1100 ASSAY AS required	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if av attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would rende	railable, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be refer the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record o	f the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contr WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVI action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from	rary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT EW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
- ·	the abjections and

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless

PTOL-413 (REV. 2 -93)

box 1 above is also checked.